### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 2 6 AUG 2004 WIPO PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:				PCT			
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  (PCT Rule 43bis.1)  Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference							
• •	form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
	ational application N /US2004/007844		International filing date (	ete (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 25.03.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G07F17/32, G06F21/00							
Appli IGT	cant						
1.	This opinion co	ntains indication	ons relating to the fol	llowing items:			
	M Day No I	Pagin of the on	vinion				
	Box No. I     Box No. I     Box No. II	Basis of the op	MINON				
	Box No. II     □ Box No. II	Priority		eard to povolty invention	va aton and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. III		•	gard to noverty, inventi-	ve step and industrial applicability		
		Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial					
	⊠ Box No. V		tement under Rule 43 <i>bl</i> Itations and explanation				
ļ	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited				
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	s in the international ap	al application			
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
2.	FURTHER ACTI	ON	•				
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3.	For further detail	ls, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.				

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Van Dop, E

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4504



### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/007844

	Вох	( No	. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		lan	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. type of material:						
	Ī		a sequence listing				
	[		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:						
	1		in written format				
	I		in computer readable form				
	c. ti	ime	of filing/furnishing:				
	!		contained in the international application as filed.				
	•		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.				
4.	Ad	ditio	nal comments:				

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/007844

E	Зох	No. II	Priority .			
1. E	.   The following document has not been furnished:					
		$\boxtimes$	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).			
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).			
		Consecuently	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.			
2. [		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.				
3. <i>F</i>	\dd	itional d	observations, if necessary:			

## Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

27-51

Claims No:

1-26

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1-51

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-51

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

PCT/US2004/007844

#### Re Item V.

1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 2002/142844 A1 (KERR MICHAEL A) 3 October 2002 (2002-10-03)

D2: US 5 768 382 A (JORASCH JAMES ET AL) 16 June 1998 (1998-06-16)

D3: EP 1 231 577 A2 (WMS GAMING) 14 August 2002 (2002-08-14)

2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 14 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT for the following reasons:

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document) an authentication server (34), comprising a network communications circuit (64) and a controller (42), wherein the controller is programmed to receive an access request (72) including biometric data, to compare the received biometric data with data in a database (40), to determine whether a person is allowed to play on a gaming machine based on the comparison (cf. paragraph 35) and to determine whether the gaming machine is in a permitted location (cf. paragraph 65). Furthermore, document D1 discloses a method (cf. claim 13) of operating said authentication server.

3. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 27 and 40 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT for the following reasons:

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document) a gaming server (36), comprising a network communications circuit (cf. figure 2) and a controller (84) being programmed to cause first display data to be transmitted to a gaming unit (cf. paragraph 79-81) when play is permitted based on biometric data of the player (cf. paragraph 35).

Furthermore, document D1 discloses a method of operating said gaming server. The subject-matter of claims 27 and 40 therefore differs from this known gaming server in that the gaming server is programmed to receive game play selection data. The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as allowing a variety of games to be played on a single machine. The provision of enhanced playing capabilities by introducing the option of game selection is however generally known, see for example document D3 (abstract). The skilled person would therefore regard it as a normal design option to include

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/007844

this feature in the gaming server described in document D1 in order to solve the problem posed.

- 4. Dependent claims 2-13, 15-26, 28-39, 41-51 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- 5. It is noted, that claims 1-51 do not meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step in the light of prior art document D2 either.